

The public hearing of Council was called to order by Vice President Budd at 6:00 p.m. in the Municipal Centers, 925 Old Clairton Road. Councilman Bentz, Vice President Budd, Councilman Montgomery, Councilman Polick, and Mayor McCaffrey answered to roll call. Borough Manager Stinner, Solicitor McPeak Cromer, and Borough Engineer Glister were also present. Councilwoman Mares arrived at 6:35 p.m. Councilman Lynch, and President Steffey were absent.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED APPLICATION

Applicant name: TowerCo

Applicant is wishing to construct a Wireless Communication Facility pursuant to Article 300, Section 4.A (Table of permitted uses), Article 400Section 4.B.10 (Conditional Use Criteria) at 310 Old Clairton Road.

The property is located in an R-1 Low Density Residential District, where a Communication Tower is a Conditional Use.

As part of the Conditional Use approval process, the applicant must meet the requirement outlined in Article 400.4.A (General Standards) and Article 400.4.B.10 (Communications Towers) of the Jefferson Hills Borough Zoning Ordinance No. 891.

The applicant applied for and was granted variance approval through Borough Zoning Hearing Board for Article 400.4.B.10.J.4.a (height of tower) and Article 400.4.B.10.J.4.e (setback from adjoining residentially zoned property).

ADJOURNMENT OF CONDITIONAL USE HEARING

Vice President Budd adjourned the public hearing at 6:54 p.m. on a motion by Mr. Bentz, seconded by Mr. Montgomery, and carried unanimously.

John P. Stinner
Secretary/Borough Manager

Transcript of the hearing was taken by:

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.
Brady Building
225 Ross Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
(412) 232-3882

BOROUGH OF JEFFERSON HILLS,
PENNSYLVANIA

* * * * *

IN RE: APPLICATION OF TOWERCO FOR
CONDITIONAL USE APPROVAL FOR A
WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY
PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 300, SECTION 4A
AND ARTICLE 400, SECTION 4.B.10 OF THE
JEFFERSON HILLS BOROUGH ZONING
ORDINANCE

* * * * *

BEFORE: CARRIE MCCAFFREY, Mayor
HILLARY BUDD, Member
DAVID BENTZ, Member
JOHN STINNER, Member

HEARING: Wednesday, March 6, 2024
6:30 p.m.

LOCATION: Jefferson Hills Municipal
Center
925 Old Clairton Road,
Jefferson Hills, PA 15025

WITNESSES: NONE OFFERED

Reporter: Amber Garbinski

Any reproduction of this transcript
is prohibited without authorization
by the certifying agency.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S

MICHAEL S. GRAB, ESQUIRE
Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP
327 Locust Street
Columbia, PA 17512
Counsel for Applicant

I N D E X

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	5 - 36
CERTIFICATE	37

E X H I B I T S

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

<u>Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Page Offered</u>
1	Curriculum Vitae of Colin Camp	12
2	Zoning Drawings	12
3	Lease Agreement	13
4	Sayber Design Report	13
5	FAA Report	14
6	Radio Frequency Report	14
7	Photo Simulation	15

EXHIBITS NOT ATTACHED

P R O C E E D I N G S

MS. BUDD:

The time is 6:30. I'm going to call to order the Borough of Jefferson Hills' public hearing of Counsel, Wednesday March 6th, 2024 at 6:30 p.m., Conditional Use Application Number CU-1-2024 310 Old Clairton Road.

Roll call, please.

(WHEREUPON, THE ROLL WAS CALLED.)

MS. BUDD:

Item three, swearing in of anyone wishing to give testimony from the court reporter.

WITNESSES SWORN EN MASSE

MS. BUDD:

Item four. Summary of public notice given by Borough Secretary.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. STINNER:

Notice is hereby given that the Borough Council of Jefferson Hills, Allegheny county, will hold a public hearing at 6:30 p.m. on Wednesday, March 6, 2024, in Council Chambers at the Municipal Center, 925 Clairton Road, Jefferson Hills, Pennsylvania 15025, regarding an application filed by TowerCo for the conditional use approval of a wireless communication facility pursuant to Article 300, Section 4.A and Article 400, Section 4.B.10 of the Jefferson Hills Borough Zoning Ordinance. The subject property is owned by the West Jefferson Hills School District and is located at 310 Old Clairton Road, Jefferson Hills, Pennsylvania 15025, Allegheny County Tax Parcel 661-M-398.

The subject property is located in the R-1 Low Density Zoning District.

Council will consider testimony and evidence from the

1 applicant at the hearing in support of
2 the application. Interested parties
3 are invited to attend.

4 A copy of the
5 application is on file and may be
6 reviewed by the public at the
7 Jefferson Hills Borough Municipal
8 Building, 925 Old Clairton Road,
9 Jefferson Hills, Pennsylvania 15025
10 during regular business hours.

11 Any person requiring
12 special accommodations is requested to
13 contact the Borough at (412) 655-7760
14 at least three working days prior to
15 the date of the hearing.

16 Thank you.

17 MS. BUDD:

18 Thank you. Item five,
19 overview of application for
20 conditional use by Borough Code
21 Enforcement Zoning Officer.

22 MR. GLISTER:

23 Okay.

24 So I'm going to be
25 speaking for the Borough Code

1 Enforcement Zoning Officer. I'm the
2 Borough Engineer. So the matter at
3 hand is initial use application CU-1-
4 2024 to build a wireless communication
5 facility on property owned by the West
6 Jefferson Hills School District.

7 The borough received the
8 application filed by TowerCo on
9 January 26th. And the review period
10 extends through March 11th. The
11 applicant must meet both the general
12 standard requirements of the zoning
13 ordinance outlined in Article 300.4.A,
14 as well as the requirements specific
15 to communication towers in Section
16 400.4.B.10.

17 The School District
18 property where the tower facility is
19 proposed to be located is 17 and a
20 half acres. The proposed monopole
21 tower will be 130 feet high and will
22 include all related equipment and
23 antennas and will be situated within a
24 2,200 square foot, fenced-in area.

25 The applicant submitted

1 supplemental narratives that explain
2 how their proposal addresses the
3 standard and communication tower
4 specific zoning requirements. The
5 applicant previously received variance
6 approval on the height of the tower
7 and on the setback from adjoining
8 residential zone property requirements
9 of the zoning ordinance.

10 The zoning officer
11 concurs that the proposal addressed
12 the general standard requirements, but
13 noted in his report that some
14 additional clarification was needed as
15 it relates to the specific
16 communication power requirements
17 section, specifically Section J.

18 We had a good discussion
19 about this at the planning commission
20 meeting. It seems there's a bit of a
21 formatting issue within our zoning
22 ordinance that led it to be a little
23 more confusing than it needed to be.

24 The Planning Commission
25 recommended approval subject to the

1 applicant submitting an annual
2 structural engineering inspection
3 report in compliance with Zoning
4 Ordinance section 400.4.B.10Q. In
5 reality, it actually --- that should
6 be 400.4.B.10.J.4.Q.

7 If the hearing cannot be
8 concluded tonight, then an additional
9 hearing will need to be scheduled so
10 that can be completed along with an
11 extension request being granted by the
12 applicant.

13 The applicant does have
14 some representatives here if you want
15 to come up and move along with the
16 next item on the agenda.

17 MS. BUDD:

18 Presentation comments by
19 applicant and/or applicant
20 representatives.

21 ATTORNEY GRAB:

22 Thank you.

23 Good evening, Council
24 members. My name is Mike Grab. The
25 last name is G-R-A-B. I'm legal

1 Counsel for TowerCo, representing the
2 applicant here this evening.

3 You've already received
4 a pretty good summary of what the
5 application is. It's an application
6 for a wireless communication facility,
7 a relatively standard facility. It's
8 going to be located on the School
9 District property, which is
10 approximately 17.5 acres located in
11 the R-1 zoning district. And the use
12 is permitted by conditional use, which
13 means that it is a permitted use as
14 long as the applicant demonstrates
15 compliance with the specific objective
16 criteria in the ordinance.

17 In order to do that, the
18 applicant has already met with the
19 Zoning Hearing Board and obtained some
20 dimensional variances that are
21 necessary to allow the tower at this
22 location at the proposed height and at
23 the proposed setback.

24 And then we also note
25 that the Planning Commission went

1 through everything with them and did
2 receive a recommendation for approval
3 from the Planning Commission.

4 I've already handed out
5 to the Borough Council members
6 pre-marked exhibits. And I'd just
7 like to kind of go through those for
8 the record. And hopefully you have
9 those in front of you there. So it's
10 a packet. And there's an index right
11 on the front. And the first item is a
12 CV or résumé for our site engineer,
13 who is Colin Camp. He's here this
14 evening.

15 There's a set of zoning
16 drawings that are attached as Exhibit
17 2 --- and let me back up for the
18 record.

19 Exhibit 1 is the CV or
20 résumé for Colin camp. Exhibit 2 are
21 the set of zoning drawings that were
22 submitted with the application that
23 show the proposed location of the
24 tower, access to the site, and other
25 relevant site information.

1
2 (Whereupon, Applicant's
3 Exhibit 1, Curriculum
4 Vitae of Colin Camp, was
5 marked for
6 identification.)

7 (Whereupon, Applicant's
8 Exhibit 2, Zoning
9 Drawings, was marked for
10 identification.)

11
12 ATTORNEY GRAB:

13 Exhibit 3 is a copy of
14 the lease agreement between the School
15 District and TowerCo for the tower.
16 Exhibit 4 is called the Sayber Design
17 Report, which is a report that details
18 how the tower will be designed and
19 that it will comply with design
20 criteria for wind loading, ice
21 loading, and for foundation design.

22
23 (Whereupon, Applicant's
24 Exhibit 3, Lease
25 Agreement, was marked

1 for identification.)
2 (Whereupon, Applicant's
3 Exhibit 4, Sayber Design
4 Report, was marked for
5 identification.)

6 ---

7 ATTORNEY GRAB:

8 Exhibit 5 is an FAA
9 report that indicates that the tower,
10 according to FAA regulations, does not
11 need to be lighted or marked in any
12 way, so there's no lights proposed for
13 the tower.

14 ---

15 (Whereupon, Applicant's
16 Exhibit 5, FAA Report,
17 was marked for
18 identification.)

19 ---

20 ATTORNEY GRAB:

21 Exhibit 6 is a radio
22 frequency report prepared by Verizon.
23 Verizon is going to be the wireless
24 communication carrier that's going to
25 be located on the tower. And we have

1 our radio frequency engineer here this
2 evening, Shreyas Patel, in case the
3 Council has any questions with regard
4 to radio frequency issues.

5 ---

6 (Whereupon, Applicant's
7 Exhibit 6, Radio
8 Frequency Report, was
9 marked for
10 identification.)

11 ---

12 ATTORNEY GRAB:

13 And then the last item,
14 item seven, is called a photo
15 simulation. And that's required by
16 the ordinance. And what it does is it
17 shows a view shed of various locations
18 around the location of the tower. And
19 it shows you whether the tower will be
20 visible once it's constructed from
21 those locations. And you'll see in
22 that exhibit there's a cover map. And
23 then behind that, various view shed
24 pictures that show whether or not you
25 can see the tower from those

1 l o c a t i o n s .

2

3

(Whereupon, Applicant's
4 Exhibit 7, Photo
5 Simulation, was marked
6 for identification.)

6

7

8

ATTORNEY GRAB:

9

So with that as preface
10 and introduction of exhibits, what I
11 would customarily do is present some
12 testimony, but I don't want to overly
13 burden the Council. If you feel you
14 have sufficient information based on
15 the submittals that we've made and
16 based upon an explanation of the
17 exhibits, we're happy to answer
18 questions you may have.

19

Or conversely, if you'd
20 like us to present detailed testimony,
21 we certainly can.

22

MR. MONTGOMERY:

23

I have a question.

24

MS. BUDD:

25

We can move on to

1 questions and comments by Borough
2 council and staff. And if they do
3 want testimony, we'll incorporate that
4 in it as well.

5 ATTORNEY GRAB:

6 Yeah, we'll be happy to
7 present whatever testimony you would
8 like to have. We do think the
9 exhibits and the information we've
10 presented is relatively comprehensive,
11 but again, we want to make sure you
12 have the info you need to make a
13 decision.

14 MS. BUDD:

15 Thank you.

16 Councilman Montgomery.

17 MR. MONTGOMERY:

18 Yeah, I have a question.
19 Mike, maybe this is for you. The
20 letter J they said it wasn't clear.
21 But I read through it. And it seemed
22 to me that it was all clear.

23 What --- is there a
24 specific concern that he has, I know
25 it's not you, but the zoning officer

1 has?

2 MR. GLISTER:

3 Well, we sort of went
4 through this at the Planning
5 Commission meeting. If everybody
6 looks at Section J, you'll see it goes
7 from section J. Then there's items 1,
8 2, 3, 4. Then under item four,
9 there's Subsection A through - and it
10 goes on for a couple of pages through
11 X. So some of the things under this
12 section, a lot of it's clarification,
13 a lot of it's acknowledgment, a lot of
14 it's things that they've already
15 provided.

16 So after hearing all
17 this at the Planning Commission
18 meeting and having the discussion
19 there, I'm comfortable. The only
20 thing that I think in preparing for
21 tonight, the recommendation from the
22 Planning Commission that the applicant
23 submit the annual structural
24 engineering reports per section Q
25 underneath J, in addition to Q, I

1 think it should be sections Q, R, S, W
2 and X. And a lot of that's just - W
3 is a little paragraph. I can go
4 through them real quick if you don't
5 have those in front of you.

6 MR. MONTGOMERY:

7 I do, yeah.

8 MR. GLISTER:

9 So Q, that's already the
10 structural inspection that was already
11 the recommendation of the Planning
12 Commission. R deals with what happens
13 if operations are ceased and basically
14 says that you have six months to take
15 the tower out. So I think that's more
16 of an acknowledgment as part of the
17 conditions. Section S, it just talks
18 about maintaining the parcel on which
19 the tower is located. Now, this is on
20 School District property and it's in
21 the parking lot. So I think a lot of
22 that parking lot is going to be
23 maintained by the School District. So
24 your footprint to maintain within that
25 fenced-in area is pretty limited. I'm

1 sure it's going to be maintained as
2 well. We still would like that to be
3 acknowledged.

4 W is a little repetitive
5 to Q. There's four items under W that
6 says in January of each year, the
7 Applicant shall provide a copy of the
8 current FCC license, the name,
9 address, and emergency telephone
10 number for the operator of the
11 communications tower, copy of
12 certificate of insurance at a level of
13 coverage acceptable to the Borough
14 solicitor, naming the Borough as an
15 additional insured, copy of the annual
16 inspection report and updated
17 maintenance program. That is
18 repetitive.

19 And then X below there
20 is just saying that if anytime
21 throughout the year there's an amended
22 license, you should submit that to the
23 borough.

24 So there's really
25 nothing that needs to be changed.

1 These are all, I think,
2 acknowledgements. I think they're
3 reasonable in going through there.

4 So we didn't talk about
5 this specifically at the Planning
6 Commission meeting, but just in going
7 through here one last time and looking
8 at the recommendation, that was sort
9 of my thoughts. Some of the other
10 items under J, some of the things we
11 talked about the Planning Commission
12 were is there any climbing devices,
13 which was addressed. There's not
14 going to be any ladders or steps for I
15 think you said 10 feet.

16 MR. MONTGOMERY:

17 Correct.

18 ATTORNEY GLISTER:

19 Ten (10) feet. We talked
20 about the - there's a provision in
21 here for potentially requiring
22 landscaping. We talked about how that
23 wouldn't be in align with the rest of
24 the parking lot, so there'd be no
25 sense in doing landscaping.

1 So we sort of touched on
2 all of that. And from my perspective,
3 and even talking to the Zoning Officer
4 after the Planning Commission meeting
5 about that conversation, we're sort of
6 --- we're comfortable, I think those
7 things we want to have acknowledged
8 and make sure we get that data on
9 annual basis.

10 ATTORNEY GRAB:

11 Thank you. I do have
12 those provisions in front of me. And
13 just for the record, TowerCo is the
14 applicant agrees to comply with those
15 requirements that the Borough Engineer
16 just recited. And those would be
17 subsections Q through X. So all those
18 ones that the engineer just
19 referenced, those reporting items,
20 those post-approval if Council
21 approves the application, reporting
22 requirements, removal of the tower if
23 it's no longer in operation for a
24 period of six months, that's actually
25 in the lease agreement that's required

1 between the applicant and the School
2 District in any event.

3 But again we would
4 agree, for the record, to comply with
5 all the rules and requirements.

6 MR. GLISTER:

7 And also some of that is
8 addressed in your narrative, your
9 supplemental narrative as well. So
10 they've already addressed some of
11 these. I just want to make sure
12 that's crystal clear in our approval.

13 And one of the other
14 things we talked about, too, that I
15 think is worth mentioning that we
16 touched on in the Planning Commission
17 meeting is should the School District
18 sell that property or something, I
19 think you said your lease will
20 continue. It will survive.

21 Right?

22 ATTORNEY GRAB:

23 Correct. The lease
24 would survive any change in use of the
25 property or sale of the property to a

1 third party.

2 MR. MONTGOMERY:

3 One other question. We
4 did talk about this earlier about the
5 fencing. I'd like to see barbed wire
6 on top of the fence.

7 ATTORNEY GRAB:

8 Yeah, that's in the
9 plan. So Colin, you've been sworn.

10 Can you confirm the
11 height of the fence and whether
12 there's barbed wire top?

13 MR. CAMP:

14 Yes, it's an eight-foot
15 fence with three-strand barbed wire on
16 top.

17 ATTORNEY GRAB:

18 So total of 10 feet in
19 height with barbed wire on top of the
20 eight foot high fence.

21 MR. CAMP:

22 Correct.

23 MR. GLISTER:

24 I have a question. What
25 are the effects while you're

1 constructing this? I don't know how
2 this thing is built, but does it come
3 in like pieces, you just like stack it
4 up.

5 ATTORNEY GRAB:

6 That's pretty much it.
7 It's a monopole tower. So there's no
8 guy wires or anything like that. It
9 would look like my pen looks, like any
10 kind of monopole tower. Very similar
11 to the light standards that are
12 already there on the athletic field
13 property. But it literally is mounted
14 in sections. So in sections it gets
15 put up. It's not overly burdensome or
16 disruptive from a construction
17 standpoint.

18 MR. GLISTER:

19 Thank you.

20 MR. MONTGOMERY:

21 So if it would collapse,
22 just so I make sure, it would just
23 collapse in itself.

24 Right?

25 ATTORNEY GRAB:

1 Correct. In fact, the
2 Sayber tower report that you have as
3 part of the exhibit confirms that in
4 the highly unlikely event of
5 catastrophic collapse, it's going to
6 fall within this property line. It's
7 not going to cross property line. It
8 will be specifically designed that
9 way.

10 MR. MONTGOMERY:

11 Okay.

12 MS. BUDD:

13 Are there any other
14 questions or requests for further
15 testimony? No.

16 ATTORNEY GRAB:

17 Thank you.

18 Again, we're happy to
19 answer any questions. I don't know if
20 anybody else that's in attendance has
21 any questions.

22 MS. BUDD:

23 Yeah, we're going to
24 move on to citizen comments. and I
25 have two of them. The first one is

1 from Cindy Calket, 1424 High Road,
2 regarding - her nature of business, CU
3 Address.

4 MS. CALKET:

5 Hi, everybody. Cindy
6 Calket, 1424 High Road, Jefferson
7 Hills. I just had a clarification
8 question regarding -.

9 MS. COMER:

10 Can I just interrupt in
11 for one second. Were you sworn in,
12 ma'am? I'm sorry, just because it's a
13 public hearing, so anyone that speaks
14 should have been sworn in, and I
15 didn't think that you. So if you want
16 to be sworn in and anyone else that
17 has anything to say should be sworn
18 in.

19 ---

20 WITNESSES SWORN EN MASSE

21 ---

22 MS. CALKET:

23 My question is just a
24 clarification question about the
25 address, because I noticed that,

1 unfortunately, I was not able to
2 attend the Planning Commission meeting
3 that was on February the 27th.

4 But I noticed on there
5 that it has to consider the
6 recommendation to Council for the
7 conditional use of this. And it has
8 it located at 830 Old Clairton Road.
9 And the parcel is 661-M-398. And when
10 I look at the public hearing
11 information, it has an address of 310
12 Old Clairton Road. So I was just
13 curious at that time, when I was
14 reading this, was it two sites or one
15 site and which is the correct site
16 address?

17 MS. BUDD:

18 That's the address.
19 One's the address of the landowner and
20 one's the address of the property. I
21 think that's what's - so the 830 Old
22 Clairton Road, that's the address of
23 the landowner. The address of the
24 property where it's going, is 310 Old
25 Clairton Road. So the school

1 district's address is the 830, who
2 owns that land.

3 MS. CALKET:

4 Okay. Thank you for
5 that clarification. That was all I
6 had.

7 MS. BUDD:

8 Second public comment,
9 Laura Williams, 2000 Laurel Ridge
10 Drive, cell tower question.

11 MS. WILLIAMS:

12 Yes. I went to the
13 Municipal Building because I wanted to
14 see where this thing was going to be
15 built just out of curiosity because I
16 live right across the street from a
17 parking lot with a bowl. So I don't
18 know if that's the place that you're
19 planning to build, but I just wanted
20 to know where it was. And I went and
21 I was shown this kind tiny little map.

22 And then when the person
23 went back to find somebody to talk to
24 me by 2:00 p.m. she came back and said
25 oh, they're all at lunch. And then

1 she took my phone number and no one
2 called me back.

3 So I got some detail.
4 So we pay a lot of money for these
5 houses. And I'm a widow and at some
6 point I'm going to have to sell mine
7 because my kids are going to grow up
8 and I'm going to have to downsize.

9 Where exactly - which
10 parking lot is this thing going in?

11 ATTORNEY GRAB:

12 So it's going to be in
13 the parking lot next to the football
14 stadium where the big wall is.

15 MS. WILLIAMS:

16 The lower senior lot,
17 what used to be the senior lot. It's
18 going right across the street from my
19 house.

20 ATTORNEY GRAB:

21 Yeah. And it's going to
22 stand up between the two existing
23 light towers. But since the base of
24 the pole is in the parking lot, the
25 top of the pole won't really be much

1 higher than the existing light towers
2 that are there.

3 MS. WILLIAMS:

4 Is it going back against
5 the wall or in the middle of the
6 parking lot?

7 ATTORNEY GRAB:

8 It's going to be up
9 against the wall.

10 MS. WILLIAMS:

11 And you're going to put
12 a fence around it and some barbed wire
13 and a small amount of landscaping and
14 that's going to be nice?

15 ATTORNEY GRAB:

16 There's not going to be
17 landscaping.

18 MS. WILLIAMS:

19 Yeah. Even better. Yeah,
20 this is great. It's going to be 130ft
21 high. Yeah, this is great. Thanks.

22 MS. BUDD:

23 There's no other
24 comments received, so I believe we're
25 ready for adjournment.

1 Correct?

2 MS. BOWERS:

3 I had a question, but I
4 think it's answered. I'm concerned
5 about the umbrella effect from a
6 cellular tower because I have to work
7 from home and I can't have my -

8 MS. BUDD:

9 Excuse me, ma'am, one
10 second. Can you say your name
11 for the record and be sworn in,
12 please? Anyone that speaks at
13 the public hearing needs to be -
14 the court reporter is trying to
15 take everything down.

16 MS. BOWERS:

17 I'm Laurie Bowers, I
18 live at 108 Lincoln Road. I'm just
19 worried about the umbrella effect from
20 a cell tower. But if it's going to be
21 over on the senior lot side, I don't
22 think I'll be affected. But that's a
23 concern for people that have to have
24 cellular service if it could be
25 blocked.

1 ATTORNEY GRAB:

2 So we can respond to
3 that. I mean to answer that question,
4 if the Council has any questions on
5 that, but generally speaking, I don't
6 know who your current service provider
7 is. Okay. Well, but the emissions
8 from these antennas operate on a
9 frequency different than the emissions
10 from other carriers. So there is no
11 interference between the emissions
12 from these antennas and emissions from
13 your carrier.

14 And Shreyas Patel was
15 already sworn. He's our radio
16 frequency engineer. Shreyas, can you
17 confirm that?

18 MR. PATEL:

19 So how this - FCC has
20 given certain licenses to operators.
21 So to maintain the license - they are
22 completely separate from each other.
23 So they don't interfere with each
24 other. So it will not affect any of
25 your ---.

1 MS. BOWERS:

2 It might not affect me,
3 but what about the people that have
4 Verizon in that area?

5 MR. PATEL:

6 It will enhance the
7 coverage but it will not degrade the
8 coverage.

9 MS. BOWERS:

10 The umbrella effect
11 won't take place?

12 MR. PATEL:

13 It won't. It will
14 enhance the coverage.

15 ATTORNEY GRAB:

16 So it will improve the
17 coverage. That's the idea.

18 MS. BOWERS:

19 Okay.

20 Well I just want to make
21 note of this because if we do as a
22 borough start to have problems with
23 this, whether it happens or not, we're
24 going to have residents that live over
25 in those areas that we're going to

1 have to deal with. So I just wanted
2 to bring that to everybody's
3 attention.

4 Thank you.

5 ATTORNEY GRAB:

6 And I just want to
7 clarify that for the Borough if I
8 could. So Shreyas, if you don't mind
9 just confirming the entire purpose of
10 this site is to improve the coverage
11 for Verizon customers in the area.

12 Is that right?

13 MR. PATEL:

14 That is correct.

15 ATTORNEY GRAB:

16 And there won't be any
17 diminution or reduction in coverage
18 for anybody living at, under or near
19 this tower.

20 Is that correct?

21 MR. PATEL:

22 That is correct.

23 MS. BUDD:

24 I think with that
25 there's no other testimony. We're

1 ready for a motion for adjournment.

2 MR. BENTZ:

3 So moved.

4 MS. BUDD:

5 Motion by Councilor
6 Bentz. Second by Councilor
7 Montgomery. We'll adjourn the
8 public hearing at 6:54 p.m.

9 * * * * *

10 HEARING CONCLUDED AT 6:54 P.M.

11 * * * * *

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify, as the stenographic reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were taken stenographically by me, and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; and that this transcript is a true and accurate record to the best of my ability.

Dated the 28 day of March, 2024



Amber Garbinski,

Court Reporter